

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

W

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/032,200	12/21/2001	Michel Deeba	4007	4939	
7	590 12/16/2003		EXAMINER		
Engelhard Corporation 101 Wood Avenue			TRAN, BINH Q		
P.O. Box 770	iluc		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
Iselin, NJ 088	330		3748		
			DATE MAILED: 12/16/2003 //		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

_f .							
	Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commons	10/032,20	0	DEEBA, MICHEL				
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
	BINH Q. TI		3748				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stat - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the ma earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	N. 1.136(a). In no ever reply within the statu tod will apply and will tute, cause the appli	nt, however, may a reply be tim tory minimum of thirty (30) day: I expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDONEI	rely filed s will be considered timely the mailing date of this co O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06</u>	6 October 2003	<u>3</u> .					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ Tr	nis action is no	n-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
S) Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election re	equirement.					
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
·	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Burnet * See the attached detailed Office action for a least 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome since a specific reference was included in the 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome reference was included in the first sentence or	ents have been ents have been ents have been ents to be entire the certification of the certification priority under the first sentence provisional appestic priority under the entire the	n received. In received in Applications have been received in Applications and the second of the specification of the specification has been received and the specification of the specification has been received as the specification has been received as the specification of the specification has been received as the specification has been received as the specification has been received as the specification of the specification	on No ed in this National ed. e) (to a provisional in an Application eived. and/or 121 since	I application) Data Sheet. a specific			
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s		4) Interview Summary 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:					

Art Unit: 3748

DETAILED ACTION

This office action is in response to the amendment filed October 06, 2003.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in-
- (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effect under this subsection of a national application published under section 122(b) only if the international application designating the United States was published under Article 21(2)(a) of such treaty in the English language; or
- (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that a patent shall not be deemed filed in the United States for the purposes of this subsection based on the filing of an international application filed undyer the treaty defined in section 351(a).

Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102 (e) as being anticipated by Hirota et al. (Hirota'927) (Patent Number 6,233,927 B1).

Regarding claims 1-2, Hirota'927 discloses a diesel engine exhaust system comprising: a soot filter (e.g. 7, 19); and low temperature NO2 trap (11) deposited on a carrier upstream and in train with the soot filter (e.g. See Fig. 5; col. 7, lines 23-67; col. 8, lines 1-15); wherein the exhaust system further comprises a diesel oxidation catalyst (18) upstream of the carrier with the deposited NO2 trap material (e.g. See Fig. 4).

 $\delta x = -\frac{c}{2} - \Delta$

Application/Control Number: 10/032,200

Art Unit: 3748

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness

rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary

subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the

invention was made.

Claims 3-5, and 10-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Hirota'927 in view of Hirota et al. (Hirota'246) (Patent Number 6,367,246 B1).

Regarding claims 3, 17-18, and 21, Hirota'927 discloses a diesel engine exhaust system

comprising: a soot filter (e.g. 7, 19); and low temperature NO2 trap (11) deposited on a carrier

upstream and in train with the soot filter (See col. 3, lines 32-67; col. 4, lines 1-14). However

Hirota'927 fails to disclose that the low temperature NO2 trap material comprising zeolites selected

from the group consisting of acidic zeolites and base metal-exchanged zeolites.

Hirota'246 teaches that it is conventional in the art, to use a low temperature NO2 trap

material comprising zeolites selected from the group consisting of acidic zeolites and base metal-

exchanged zeolites (e.g. See col. 3, lines 32-67; col. 4, lines 1-14), which are carried on a carrier for

absorbing the NOx when the air-fuel ratio of the exhaust gas flowing into the absorbent is lean, and

releasing the NOx when the air-fuel ratio of the exhaust gas flowing into the absorbent is rich.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention

was made, to use a low temperature NO2 trap material comprising zeolites selected from the group

consisting of acidic zeolites and base metal-exchanged zeolites of Hirota'927, as taught by

Hirota'246 for the purpose of absorbing the NOx when the air-fuel ratio of the exhaust gas flowing

Page 3

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/032,200

Art Unit: 3748

into the absorbent is lean, and releasing the NOx when the air-fuel ratio of the exhaust gas flowing into the absorbent is rich, so as to reduce the poisoned materials in the purifying catalyst and to reduce amount of nitrogen oxides in the exhaust gas of the lean-burn engine, and further improve the performance of the engine and the efficiency of the emission device.

Regarding claim 4, Hirota'246 further discloses that the zeolites are selected from the group consisting of ZSM-5, ETS-I0, y zeolite, Beta zeolite, ferrierite, mordenite, titanium silicates, and aluminum phosphates (See col. 11, lines 5-47).

Regarding claim 5, Hirota'246 further discloses that the base metals are selected from the group consisting of Mn, Cu, Fe, Co, W, Re, Sn, Ag, Zn, Mg, Li, Na, K, Cs, Nd, Pr and combinations thereof (See col. 11, lines 5-47).

Regarding claim 10, Hirota'927 further discloses that the a diesel oxidation catalyst (18) upstream of the soot filter (7) (See Fig. 4).

Regarding claim 11, Hirota'927 further discloses that the NO2 trap material (11) is deposited on a carrier that is interposed and in train with the diesel oxidation catalyst (18) and the soot filter (7) (See Fig. 4).

Regarding claim 12, Hirota'246 further discloses that the system comprising a canister, wherein the canister houses both the low temperature NO2 trap material and the soot filter (See Fig. 4; col. 6, lines 10-56).

Regarding claim 13, Hirota'246 further discloses that the soot filter comprises a ceramic monolithic structure having an upstream axial end and a downstream axial end, the structure having parallel flow channels with macroporous walls, wherein the channels having an opening at the upstream axial end are closed at the downstream axial end, and the channels having an

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 10/032,200

Art Unit: 3748

opening at the downstream axial end are closed at the upstream axial end, thereby defining upstream and downstream sides of the channel walls (See col. 3, lines 32-67; col. 4, lines 1-14).

Regarding claim 14, Hirota'246 further discloses a catalyst composition is deposited on the downstream side of the channel walls of the soot filter (See col. 3, lines 32-67; col. 4, lines 1-14).

Regarding claim 15, Hirota'246 further discloses that the catalyst composition, deposited on the downstream side of the channel walls of the soot filter, comprises a lean NOx catalyst composition (See col. 3, lines 32-67; col. 4, lines 1-14).

Regarding claim 16, Hirota'246 further discloses that the catalyst composition, deposited on the downstream side of the channel walls of the soot filter, comprises a catalyst composition effective for the combustion of unburned hydrocarbons and carbon monoxide (See col. 3, lines 32-67; col. 4, lines 1-14).

Regarding claim 19, Hirota'246 further discloses that the low temperature NO2 trap material comprises zeolites selected from the group consisting of acidic zeolites and base-metal exchanged zeolites (See col. 3, lines 32-67; col. 4, lines 1-14).

Regarding claim 20, Hirota'246 further discloses that the exhaust system further comprises a lean NOx catalyst deposited on the soot filter (See col. 3, lines 32-67; col. 4, lines 1-14).

Claims 6-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hirota'927 in view of Hirota'246 as applied to claims 3, 17-18, and 21 above, and further in view of Deeba et al. (Deeba) (Patent Number 6,093,378).

Page 6

Application/Control Number: 10/032,200

Art Unit: 3748

Regarding claim 6, Hirota'927 in view of Hirota'246 discloses all the claimed limitation as discussed above except that the zeolites comprise a trivalent metal which in combination with Si forms an oxidic skeleton

Deeba discloses a diesel engine exhaust system comprising: a low temperature NO2 trap material comprising zeolites selected from the group consisting of acidic zeolites and base metal-exchanged zeolites, and wherein the low temperature NO2 trap material is deposited on a carrier, wherein the zeolites comprise a trivalent metal which in combination with Si forms an oxidic skeleton (See col. 10, lines 5-67; col. 11, lines 1-45).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to use a low temperature NO2 trap material comprising zeolites selected from the group consisting of acidic zeolites and base metal-exchanged zeolites of Hirota'927 in view of Hirota'246, as taught by Deeba for the purpose of absorbing the NOx when the air-fuel ratio of the exhaust gas flowing into the absorbent is lean, and releasing the NOx when the air-fuel ratio of the exhaust gas flowing into the absorbent is rich, so as to reduce the poisoned materials in the purifying catalyst and to reduce amount of nitrogen oxides in the exhaust gas of the lean-burn engine, and further improve the performance of the engine and the efficiency of the emission device.

Regarding claim 7, Deeba further discloses that the trivalent metal comprises at least one metal selected from the group consisting of Al, B, Ga, In, Fe, Cr, V, As and Sb (See col. 10, lines 5-67; col. 11, lines 1-45).

Regarding claim 8, Deeba further discloses that the zeolites comprise three-dimensional alumina-silicate zeolites characterized by pore openings whose smallest cross-section dimensions

Art Unit: 3748

are at least 5 Angstroms and having a silicon to alumina ratio of at least 5 (See col. 10, lines 5-67; col. 11, lines 1-45).

Page 7

Regarding claim 9, Deeba further discloses that the zeolites comprise titanium silicates (See col. 12, lines 10-67; col. 13, lines 1-32).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed May 05, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not completely persuasive. *Claims 1-21 are pending*.

Applicants have argued that Hirota does not teach or suggest Applicant's claimed invention. More specifically, Applicant asserts that the reference to Hirota fails to disclose a "low temperature NO2 trap material". The examiner respectfully disagrees, any NO2 trap in the exhaust gas system of any internal combustion engine is a low temperature NO2 trap, since the exhaust gas temperature of an internal combustion engine is less than 1000 °C, which is too low to compare to the exhaust gas temperature of a jet engine (greater than thousands Celsius degree) or the SUN's temperature (greater than millions Celsius degree). In addition, Applicant has not claim what temperature range would be considered as a low temperature range; therefore, the use of low temperature NO2 trap material is so notoriously well known in the art, so as to be proper for official notice.

Moreover, Applicants have argued that Hirota'927 in combination do not teach or suggest Applicant's claimed invention. The examiner respectfully disagrees with Applicants. Both Hirota-927 and Hirota'246 are directed to substantially solving the same problem as each other that of reducing nitrogen oxide and particulates in the exhaust gas of the internal combustion

Application/Control Number: 10/032,200

Art Unit: 3748

engine by using a soot filter and NOx trap having claimed materials. It would have been obvious

at the time the invention was made to a person of ordinary skill in the art, to use the NOx trap

having different materials compounds and specific amounts in the Hirota'927 device as taught by

Hirota'246 having both functional and structural equivalent in the art; consequently, Hirota'927

in combination with Hirota'246 clearly meets these limitations. Therefore, the examiner will not

withdraw the rejection as requested by the Applicants.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as

set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS

from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the

mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the

THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the

date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be

calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory

period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Page 8

Application/Control Number: 10/032,200

Art Unit: 3748

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Binh Tran whose telephone number is (703) 305-0245. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Thomas E. Denion, can be reach on (703) 308-2623. The fax phone number for this group is (703)

746-4561.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0861.

BT

December 11, 2003

Binh Tran

Patent Examiner

Page 9

Art Unit 3748